

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,687	SKINLO, DAVID M.	
	Examiner ROBERT HODGE	Art Unit 1795	

All Participants:(1) ROBERT HODGE**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) _____

(2) Travis Dodd

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 December 2009**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an effort to advance prosecution the Examiner called and left a message with applicants' representative, the Examiner stated in the message that he would like to propose an Examiner's Amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance. However applicants' representative never returned the Examiner's phone call.